

Manuscript ID 66126

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your kind letter and favorable suggestions. We hope you will find the manuscript completely improved, and the comments given by the editors adequately replaied.

The following comments were given by the editors:

**Science Editor; Peer Reviewer; Company Editor-in-Chief**

*I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript.*

**Authors**

Acknowledged. Figure and tables are now added.

## Science Editor

*Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a frontier of the gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 in cytoprotection to resolve major vessel occlusion disturbances, Pringle maneuver and Budd Chiari syndrome. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The manuscript is well written and offers a great review of the cytoprotective concept as well as the effects BPC 157 mediates within the same concept. However, the English would be simplified; and (3) Format: There are no tables and figures. (4) References: A total of 184 references are cited, including 18 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 107 self-cited references. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations that are closely related to the topic of the manuscript, and remove other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and (6) References recommend: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by peer reviewer(s), especially the references published by the peer reviewer(s) themselves. If the authors found the peer reviewer(s) request the authors to cite improper references published by themselves, please send the peer reviewer's ID number to the [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The study was supported by 1 grant. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); and (3) The authors should add some figures or tables. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.*

## Authors

Acknowledged. Figure and tables are now added.

The requests from the query 5 were completely fulfilled. References largely reorganized - total of 184 references are cited, including 19 self-cited references.

In conclusion, we appreciate all of the editors' comments.

Looking forward to hearing from you very soon

Sincerely

Predrag Sikiric, MD, PhD

Professor