

Answering Reviewers

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your letter and the comments about our paper (Manuscript NO.: 75977). We have carefully checked the manuscript and revised it according to the comments of reviewers and editorial office. We submit here the revised manuscript as well as a list of changes. If you have any question about this paper, please don't hesitate to let me know.

Response to reviewer # 1:

Thank you for your recognition of our paper. We have revised spelling errors and language expression in our paper according to your comments, and Filipodia was commissioned to polish in language.

Response to reviewer # 2:

Many thanks for your comments on our letter, we have revised the paper according to your comments:

1. We think should discuss with authors —————> we think should be discussed with Authors.

2. many expert suggests —————> many experts suggest that.

3. If these patients are indeed necessary to use of albendazole, we think the authors should have given an explanation. —————> We think the authors should have provided a more detailed explanation

if these patients are indeed necessary for the use of albendazole.

Moreover, we commissioned Filipodia to polish the article in language.

Response to editorial office's comments:

After carefully studying your advice, we have made corresponding changes to the paper:

1. We have revised language expression in our paper according to your comments and Filipodia was commissioned to polish in language.
2. We have added the “Author contributions”, “Conflict-of-interest statement”, and “ORCID number” in manuscript.
3. We have added the PMID and DOI in the reference list.
4. We made an “Audio core tip” and uploaded it to the F6 Publishing System.

Best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Xiong Chen