

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 75122

Title: Shared decision-making in the management of patients with inflammatory bowel disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04218562

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-17 00:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-17 18:11

Review time: 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have elaborated on the benefits of 'shared decision making' in treating patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Ideally, 'shared decision making' should be a routine practice in all branches of clinical medicine. The importance & benefits of 'shared decision making' are well-understood & the authors need to explain possible roadblocks for implementing such approaches in clinical medicine. The reviewer realizes that the authors did mention the unavailability of similar drugs in all the places could be a limiting factor for 'shared decision making'; but the author should also emphasize on training the healthcare providers in their early stage of career to increase the awareness of benefits of implementing of such approaches.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 75122

Title: Shared decision-making in the management of patients with inflammatory bowel disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05906528

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-17 04:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-25 15:44

Review time: 8 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="radio"/>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Minor revision [<input type="radio"/>] Major revision [<input type="radio"/>] Rejection
Re-review	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Yes [<input type="radio"/>] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of this article is very pertinent in today's day and age where most of the individuals have access to internet where they can do extensive research on their clinical condition. Patient's access to information has expanded significantly, however, their involvement in the clinical decision making still remains an area that needs more expansion. The writers have done a great job in briefly giving an overview of the current treatment modalities for IBD and the supporting evidence for each of them. They have also done justice in explaining what the concept of shared decision making (SDM) is and how it entails the crucial involvement of the patients. Section on SDM is well-written followed by a well-organized and precise discussion section.