

## Answering Reviewers

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** *Grade C (Good)*

**Language Quality:** *Grade A (Priority publishing)*

**Conclusion:** *Accept (General priority)*

**Specific Comments to Authors:** *This is a good work for providing an update of the Kyoto classification of gastritis, clarifying the shortcomings of the Kyoto classification, and providing prospects for future research. It should be suggested for publication.*

Thank you for your review and comments.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** *Grade A (Excellent)*

**Language Quality:** *Grade A (Priority publishing)*

**Conclusion:** *Accept (High priority)*

**Specific Comments to Authors:** *The review summarized the literatures in the past five years, and pointed the association among the Kyoto score, H. pylori infection, and GC risk. The most impressive thing is the deliberate discussion of endoscopic findings reflectiong the microenvironment of histology, which will greatly promote the endoscopic diagnosis of gastric disorders. The review will deepen the understanding endoscopic significance in early diagnosis and treatment of gastric cancer.*

Thank you for your review and comments.