

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83145

Title: Global Research Trends on Diet and Nutrition in Crohn's Disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06282354 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: Palestine

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-09 10:36

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-09 11:04

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer comments for author Reviewer #: General comments: It's Very interesting study, however there are many areas need to be covered based on the title but a good manuscript for this journal.

Abstract: should be more specific and clear. Result: Please provide the level of confident when comparing group in both explanation in result and discussion.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We modified it.

Discussion: Expension on the discussion will make the manuscript more interesting to read. Conclusion Weak and need to be re written based on the finding.

Response: Thank you for these suggestion. We modified them and we expanded the discussion.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83145

Title: Global Research Trends on Diet and Nutrition in Crohn's Disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04611317 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Palestine

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-09

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-21 03:26

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-03 03:04

Review time: 9 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript was addressed the global trend of diet and nutrition in Crohn's Disease by using bibliometric analysis method with VOSviewer software. The overall results were acceptable, however, I found that the English grammar needed to be substantially polished. The abstract format was not correct. The references format was not correct.

Response: I would like to thank you for the thorough reading of the manuscript and the professional comments and constructive recommendations, which help improve this manuscript's quality. After revising our manuscript to address the reviewers' Comment, we have had it rechecked by a native speaker of English. As a consequence, many minor grammatical and stylistic edits have been made throughout the text. We hope that this revised manuscript meets your expectations.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83145

Title: Global Research Trends on Diet and Nutrition in Crohn's Disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00189260 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic

Author's Country/Territory: Palestine

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-09

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-26 20:44

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-05 19:11

Review time: 6 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C:
	Fair
	[] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C:
	Fair
	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors follow the current evolution of perspectives on the management of patients with Crohn's disease, including exclusive enteral nutrition and the role of gut microbiota. Based on the results of the bibliographic research, the authors show that these topics are topical and are likely to be the subject of further research. The authors analysed papers from the last 20 years in the Scopus database. Its strength is the comprehensive evaluation of individual bibliographic parameters (keywords, journal, publishing country...) and their relationship using the co-occurrence network map. In the future, it would certainly be useful to include other databases such as PubMed in the analysis (which is mentioned in the limitations). In any case, this work can be a guide for other (less) experienced researchers interested in studying current nutritional issues in Crohn's disease patients.

Response: I would like to thank you for the thorough reading of the manuscript and the professional comments and constructive recommendations, which help improve this manuscript's quality.



-Although their meaning is clear, not all abbreviations are clearly explained in the text (especially enteral and parenteral nutrition) -in Material and methods, section "search strategy"

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We defined it (Page 5).

- It is not clearly explained on the basis of which criteria the specific three publications were selected to define the keywords.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We clarified it (Page 6).

-Reference to figures 2 and 5 is missing in the text. Table 5 is labeled "Figure 5".

Response: Thank you for this correction. We corrected them (Page 6).



March 18, 2023 Dear editor Editor-in-Chief

Hope this finds you well

Re: Revised Manuscript Submission (Manuscript No: 83145)

We would like to express our sincere gratitude for taking the time to review our manuscript and for providing us with such insightful and constructive feedback. Your and reviewers' comments and recommendations have been extremely valuable to us, and we truly appreciate the effort and dedication you have put into reviewing our work.

Your thorough reading of the manuscript and your professional comments have greatly helped us to improve the quality of our work. We were impressed by the depth of your analysis and the attention you paid to even the smallest details. Your suggestions have guided us in refining our arguments, clarifying our explanations, and presenting our ideas more effectively.

We cannot thank you enough for your contribution to our manuscript. Your support has been instrumental in helping us to achieve our goals, and we are grateful for your willingness to share your expertise and knowledge with us. We hope that we can continue to collaborate in the future and that your feedback will help us to create even better work.

Our responses to the comments are highlighted in yellow in the revised manuscript. We carefully addressed all comments of the reviewers. A point-by-point reply to the comments is given below. We hope that we appropriately address all comments. We look forward to you and reviewers' comments on the manuscript and hope that the manuscript is given favorable consideration for publication in *World Journal of Gastroenterology*.

Yours sincerely

Sa'ed H Zyoud



(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Response: thank you for this decision

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted.

Response: thank you for this decision

I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Response: Dear editor, thank you very much for the comments and suggestions. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to improve and resubmit our manuscript. The comments and suggestions are valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript. According to the referees' comments and suggestions, we have made revisions, as described in the authors' response.

Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file.

Response: we added all the figures to PPT



Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned.

Response: we adjusted the tables as you recommended

Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Response: All our figures were original. We followed your suggestions.

Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.

Response: very thanks for this suggestion. We used it (see methods Page 6, and Table 5).