

Answer to reviewers

Dear reviewers,

we thank you for time taken and for your efforts which contributed to improvement of our manuscript.

Reviewer#1

The authors have give us a rather new insight of the important clinical field, not investigated so far. Review is well written and understandable. However, were there any information in analysed studies regarding time between deployment and misdeployment of the LAMS? Would that be important risk factor for misdeployment?

Answer to reviewer 1: Actually, misdeployment is an immediate complication of EUS-guided drainage by LAMS. As it is defined it is actually an immediate complications. Late dislodgement of LAMS is not included in our definition of misdeployment.

Reviewer#2

The authors have described a systematic review of issues and rescue management about lumen-apposing metal stent misdeployment during EUS-guided drainage. This is an interesting report and well written; however, it seems to be required some minor revisions. 1 . It is better to make the conclusion short. 2 . In section EUS-PFC of RESULTS of the main text, it is abbreviated as "EUS-FPC", but it seems to be a mistake of "EUS-PFC". 3 . In section EUS-GBD of DISCUSSION of the main text, it is abbreviated as "gallbladder endoscopic ultrasound drainage (EUS-GBD)", but it seems to be a mistake of "EUS-guided gallbladder drainage (EUS-GBD)". 4 . It is abbreviated as "EUS-PPC" in the row of Ali A. Siddiqui et al. of Table 3, but it seems to be a mistake of "EUS-PFC".

Answer to reviewer 2: The manuscript was modified in accordance with your suggestions.

On behalf of the co-authors

E. Armellini