

Dear Editor:

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity for a revision of our manuscript. We appreciate all the comments and suggestions. Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our article. Followings are a point-by-point responses to the reviewers' and editors' original remarks underneath each comment. The manuscript has been thoroughly revised in accordance with the guidelines and requirements for a specific type of manuscript (retrospective study). All the revised/added contents made in the original manuscript are with yellow color in the revised manuscript.

1. Responses to the reviewers:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

The authors report the treatment of jejunal varices with bleeding by endoscopy sclerotherapy by injection of lauromacrogol/alpha-butyl cyanoacrylate. The complication is difficult to be diagnosed and timely treated. the cases report refer only to three patients, as the authors themselves recognize. The manuscript is well written and merit to be published due to the suggested new treatment, the difficulty in diagnosing and the multidisciplinary approach, difficult to be organized.

Response:

Thank you very much for your careful review and valuable suggestions. As you pointed out in your valuable comments, the number of cases was relatively small. We have also stated this limitation in the discussion section, and will diligently conduct large sample, prospective cohort studies in future research to further explore the effectiveness and safety of endoscopic sclerotherapy.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

I had the opportunity to review a paper “Outcomes of endoscopic sclerotherapy with lauromacrogol/ α -butyl cyanoacrylate injection for jejunal varices at the site of choledochojejunostomy: A single-center experience (with video)” , and I found very interesting. There is no problem to publish the manuscript.

Response:

Thank you very much for your careful review and kind comments.

2. Responses to the science editor:

(1) Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

Response:

Thank you very much for your careful review and valuable suggestions. Conflict-of-interest disclosure form has been uploaded in the revised manuscript.

(2) Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A, B, arrows, etc. All legends are incorrectly formatted and require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as Figure 1 title. A: ; B: ; C: .

Response:

Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We have provided all Figures in the form of PPT in revised version of our manuscript. We are sorry for the improper figure legend format, and have presented revised formatted figure legend in the revised manuscript.

(3) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 18 words.

Response:

Thank you for your careful review and comments. In the revised manuscript, the length of the title has been reduced to within 18 words.

(4) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text (and directly before the References).

Response:

Thank you very much for your careful review. We are sorry for the missing of the “Article Highlights” section and have added this section at the end of the main text before the References section in the revised manuscript.

(5) Please provide the PMID numbers (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) and DOI citation numbers (<https://doi.crossref.org/simpleTextQuery>) to the reference list and list all authors of the references. If a reference has no PMID and DOI, please provide the source website address of this reference.

Response:

We appreciate very much for your valuable suggestions. We have added all the PMID numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references in the revised manuscript.

(6) Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response:

Thank you very much for your valuable suggestions. We have revised the format of table 1 according to the requirement for a standard three-line table.

3. Responses to company editor-in-chief:

Response:

Thank you very much for your kind comment and valuable suggestions. We have made supplement reference and improved the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results with the help of RCA database.

Besides, according to the editors' kind suggestions, we have revised the language by a professional English language editing company and provided a new language certificate along with the revised manuscript. Also, we have strictly made systematic modifications of abbreviations in accordance with the requirements of the journal. All authors have accepted and signed the Copyright License Agreement (CLA). The corresponding author has filled out Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and uploaded this form.

We are looking forward to your reply. Thank you very much and best regards!

Yours sincerely,
Ning Zhong