

We thank the Editor and the reviewers for the time spent in reviewing our manuscript and for the opportunity to resubmit it after major revision. Change made have been highlighted in yellow in the revised text.

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Major revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This article has good innovation, novel topic selection, clear viewpoints, clear writing level, and good logic. However, the specific content of the article is hollow, the discussion is not in-depth, and the focus is not prominent. It is recommended to revise it.

*Answer:* thank you for your comment. We revised the manuscript accordingly, by improving its overall content. We also polished the English language throughout the manuscript. Please see the revised version of the text. As required, changes have been highlighted in yellow.

## **EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS**

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

**(1) Science editor:**

**1 Manuscript's theme:** The topic is within the scope of the journal.

**2 Scientific quality:** The author submitted an editorial on the interaction between metabolic associated fatty liver disease and renal function. The manuscript is overall qualified.

**(1) Advantages and disadvantages:** The reviewers have given positive peer-review reports for the manuscript. Classification: Grade B; Language Quality: Grade B. This article has good innovation, novel topic selection, clear viewpoints, clear writing level, and good logic. However, the specific content of the article is hollow, the discussion is not in-depth, and the focus is not prominent.

**(2) Table(s) and figure(s):** There is 1 Figure should be improved. Detailed suggestions for each are listed in the specific comments section.

**(3) References:** A total of 49 references are cited, including 38 published in the last 3 years. The reviewer didn't request the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself.

**3 Language evaluation:** The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. Before final acceptance, the authors must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

#### **4 Specific comments:**

(1) Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. If the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (*i.e.* generated *de novo* by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2024.

*Answer:* we confirm that the figure is original by adding the copyright information, as required. Please see the revised Figure 1.

(2) Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

*Answer:* we have attached this form.

(3) Abbreviations other than special types of words such as COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 are not allowed in the article title, and no more than 18 words are allowed.

*Answer:* we revised the title accordingly.