

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Letter to the editor: Impressive recompensation in transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt-treated individuals with complications of decompensated cirrhosis based on Baveno VII criteria” (NO: 92130). Those comments are all valuable and helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have made corrections which we hope meet with approval. Revised portions are marked in red in the paper. Our responses to the reviewer and editors are as follows:

**Reviewer#1’s specific comments to authors:** The present letter to editor is well-written and can be accepted after minor revision of grammars and punctuations.

**Response:** Thanks for the reviewer’s comment. The article had been professionally edited, and a language certificate was obtained (Certificate Number: ES-202402281612829246). Here we did not list the changes but marked them in red in the revised paper.

**Science editor’s specific comments:**

- (1) The title no more than 18 words.
- (2) Please provide the PubMed numbers (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) and DOI citation numbers (<https://doi.crossref.org/simpleTextQuery>) to the reference list and list all authors of the references. If a reference has no PMID and DOI, please provide the source website address of this reference.
- (3) Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

**Response:**

- (1) We have rewritten the title as “Letter to the editor: Impact of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt on cirrhosis recompensation”, which is less than 18 words.
- (2) We are very sorry for our incorrect writing and we have made corresponding changes to the references as required.
- (3) We have supplemented the conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

**Company editor-in-chief’s comments:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

When revising the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA), of which data source is PubMed. RCA is a unique artificial intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical science and life science literature. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>, or visit PubMed at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>.

**Response:** We sincerely appreciate the valuable comments. And we have conducted a comprehensive search in Pubmed. Unfortunately, there are no newly published studies in this direction so far. Our group plans to carry out a prospective study on cirrhosis recompensation after TIPS.

Thank you very much for your attention and time. Look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Zhang Wei