Dear reviewers,

First of all, we would like to thank you wholeheartedly for giving us your valuable comments and suggestions. Your guidance is very important to our research and improvement of the paper.

The following is our response to your comments.

Reviewer 1: Language evaluation: The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. There are many errors in grammar and format, throughout the entire manuscript. Before final acceptance, the authors must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company.

Answer: We apologize for the poor language of our manuscript. We worked on the manuscript for a long time and the repeated addition and removal of sentences and sections obviously led to poor readability. We have now worked on both language and readability and have also involved native English speakers for language corrections. We really hope that the flow and language level have been substantially improved. And we have sent it to a language editing organization for touch-ups, and an English language certificate issued by a professional English language editing company has been provided upon your request.

Reviewer 2 : The European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) suggest that the ULN for ALT is 40 U/L. the current ALT threshold may not be suitable as an indicator for initiating antiviral treatment for chronic HBV infection, as a significant proportion of HBV-infected patients with normal ALT levels exhibit significant liver inflammation and fibrosis. The American Hepatitis B Foundation organized a report meeting titled Expanding Hepatitis

B Treatment Guidelines put forth a strategy for antiviral treatment in all HBV DNA-positive individuals. The primary goal of antiviral therapy is to suppress HBV DNA levels to undetectable, as this end point is associated with improvement in liver inflammation and fibrosis and reversal of cirrhosis, a lower risk of HCC, and reduced liver-related mortality. Above mentioned should be referred to.

Answer: We accept your comments and have revised the paper accordingly, We have corrected and clarified any ambiguities in the paper. We believe that these changes will help improve the quality of the thesis.

Science editor: Main manuscript content: The author clearly stated the purpose of the study and the research structure is complete. However, the manuscript is still required a further revision according to the detailed comments listed below.

Answer: Thank you for recognizing this article of ours, We accept your comments and have revised the paper accordingly, we have made some adjustments to the reviewers' concerns to make the article structure more complete and have better logic.

Company editor-in-chief: References: A total of 9 references are cited, including 6 published in the last 3 years.

Answer: Thank you very much for your valuable comments, which we accept, and we have added and refined the highlights of the latest cutting-edge

research findings and mentioned the new direction of presenting the new version of the web Hepatitis B Hepatitis Guidelines, which are under preparation, at the 2023 Congress.