

## Manuscript NO: 56989 Response to Reviewers

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to submit a revised draft of the manuscript “Use of glycated albumin for the identification of diabetes in subjects from northeast China” for publication in the World Journal of Diabetes. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers dedicated to providing feedback on our manuscript and are grateful for the insightful comments on and valuable improvements to our paper. We have incorporated most of the suggestions made by the reviewers. Those changes are highlighted within the manuscript.

Reviewers' Comments to the Authors:

Reviewer 1

There are numerous strengths to this study, including its study is very well designed and the results are interesting.

Author response: Thank you!

1. Comment from Reviewer 1 with Some minor language polishing should be proofed, and revised.

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. The [describe the correction made] has been corrected on [insert the exact location where the change can be found in the revised manuscript].

2. Comment from Reviewer 1 with the references should be updated.

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. The reviewer is correct, and we have [explain the change made].

The revised text reads as follows on [insert the exact location where the change can be found in the revised manuscript]: “[updated text in the manuscript]”

3. Comment from Reviewer 1 with a suggestion to improve the tables.

Author response: We think this is an excellent suggestion. We have [explain the change made, including the exact location where the change can be found in the revised manuscript]

## Reviewer 2

The design of the study is good, with clear aims. Methods are described in detail, and the results are very interesting. The sample size is big, and enough.

Author response: Thank you!

1. Comment from Reviewer 2 Manuscript requires an editing, both the language and the format. Please revise the manuscript according to the journal's guidelines.

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. The [describe the correction made] has been corrected on [insert the exact location where the change can be found in the revised manuscript].

2. Comment from Reviewer 2 with a suggestion to check and update the reference list.

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. The reviewer is correct, and we have [explain the change made].

The revised text reads as follows on [insert the exact location where the change can be found in the revised manuscript]: “[updated text in the manuscript]”

## Science editor

The manuscript describes a retrospective study of use of glycated albumin for the identification of diabetes in subjects from northeast China. The topic is within the scope of the WJD.

Author response: Thank you!

1. Comment from Science editor The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. We have uploaded the approved grant application form of the funding agency copy of any approval document.

2. Comment from Science editor The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. We have uploaded original pictures

in a single PowerPoint file and tables in a docx.

3. Comment from Science editor PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. We have supplemented PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

4. Comment from Science editor The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Author response: Thank you for pointing this out. We have supplemented the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of Diabetes

Sincerely yours,

Qiang li