

Thank you for providing us the opportunity to resubmit our manuscript. We thank the reviewers for their encouraging comments. Below are our point-by-point responses to the comments

Responses to the comments

Reviewer #1:

Comment 1: In General: it's a good paper and the subject of the manuscript is applicable and useful. reformulated Please provide and edit the following information in the Paper 1. Conflict of Interest. 2. Source of Funding.

Response: Thank you we have added the Conflict of Interest on page 22 and Source of Funding on page 1 of the revised manuscript.

Comment 2: Some references without DOI. Writing references according to the terms of the journal:

Response: We apologize for not including DOI in all the references. The references are corrected as per the Journal terms in the manuscript.

Comment 3 Many sentences need to be reformulated:

Response: We apologize for the incorrect/ unclear sentences. As per the reviewer's suggestion, we have corrected the sentences in the revised manuscript.

Comment 4. There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed (Check the Paper Comments).

Response: We apologize for the grammatical /typographical errors. The same has been corrected as suggested in the paper. In addition, Dr. Carolyn Ecelbarger, who is an author on the paper and a native American, has carefully proofread the manuscript for grammar.

Comment 5: Finally, this was an attractive article. In its current state, it adds much new insightful information to the field. Therefore, I accept that paper to be published in your journal.

Response: Thank you for encouraging remarks and accepting our paper.

Reviewer #2:

Comments:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: very nice

Response. Thank you for encouraging remarks