

Review comments and Rebuttal – WJD - 90314

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Novelty of This Manuscript: Grade D (No novelty)

Creativity or Innovation of This Manuscript: Grade D (No creativity or innovation)

Scientific Significance of the Conclusion in This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Conclusion: Major revision.

Specific Comments to Authors:

I have searched for relevant papers in the field, and Medline alone has at least 50 related articles, including systematic reviews and even meta-analyses. Therefore, I urgently need to know where your article's innovation lies. 2.I think it is unreasonable to have only 21 references as a review

Answers:

Thanks for the review comments.

Unfortunately, this manuscript is just an editorial and we can't make any new discovery (review comment regarding the co called "Novelty") while commenting on the topic.

Again, regarding the comment on "Creativity or Innovations of This Manuscript", we are unsure how we can do this (unless the "Expert reviewer" has some specific suggestions!.)

However, we have now done some additional literature search to modify the paper a bit more to incorporate emerging research concepts and potential therapies with 3 new references.

Hope these additional points address the concerns raised by the reviewer and improved the quality of the paper.

Editor's comments

Specific comments:

(1) Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A,B, arrows, etc. With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed. All legends require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as A: ; B: ; C: .

(2) Please obtain permission for the use of picture(s). If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published, and correctly indicate the reference source and copyrights. For example, “Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]”. And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable.

(3) Please add the author's contribution section. The format of this section will be as follows: Author contributions: Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents/analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the paper.

(4) The article which this editorial discussed has not been listed in the references list. Please add the article which this editorial discussed into the main text and references list.

Answers:

The figures are created by authors and have no copyright issues.

Author contributions are now added.

The article which is discussed in the editorial is now correctly cited in the reference list.