

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

August 25, 2014

Dear Editor,



Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 16693-review.doc).

Title: Gestational diabetes mellitus: An update on the current international diagnostic criteria

Author: Mukesh M Agarwal

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Diabetes*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 16693

Author's reply to reviewers

We thank all our reviewers for all the time and effort spent on our manuscript. We have incorporated all their suggestions.

Thank you.

Reviewed by 02446566

In page 8 line 1-2 "'additional' women identified and treated with GDD". In this sentence "GDD" is used without explanation. Is it mistyping of "GDM"?

A typographical error. Changed.

In page 10 line 9, "At booking, the have a list of risk factors for diabetes and recommend". Is "the" mistyping of "they"?

Yes. Corrected.

In page 13 line 11, "while all high-risk women should undergo a with the 75-g OGTT" some word is missing after "a". In Table 1, just "a" is written in the column of screening test in the row of DIPSI 2009.

Edited.

Some explanation is missing in the legend of Table. In Table 1, a line in the cell of "Asia" that separate between JDS and DIPSI is dispensable.

Done.

Reviewed by 02446567

Title: Gestational diabetes mellitus: an update on the current international diagnostic criteria This study was focus on screening and diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) around the world. This review is an attempt to understand the problems afflicting the screening and diagnosis of GDM globally. It traces the major temporal changes in the diagnostic criteria of a) some respected health organizations and b) a few selected countries. With an understanding of the reasons for this disparity, a way forward can be found to reach the ultimate goal: a single global guideline for GDM followed worldwide. I recommend accepting the manuscript for publishing in the WJD

Thank you for all your comments and the time spent on our manuscript

Reviewed by 00506154

The author has tried to review the globally approved criteria for assessing GDM worldwide. GDM is a commonly encountered metabolic disorder during pregnancy that needs to be carefully diagnosed and monitored, both for the mother and the baby. As is evident, there is a lot of disparity among the diagnostic criteria that are followed world-wide making it difficult to set up specific criteria for the diagnosis of GDM. The review is well written, However, considering the complexities of this metabolic disease, the author should propose a conclusive listing of the potential broad diagnostic criteria that might be accepted globally and reduce the variations currently available. Without this, the review seems just a compendium of facts available in the literature.

Many thanks. Your comments are very valid. We have, as you suggest, a paragraph on the way forward. Here we argue that till a major trial is done, we may as well accept the IADPSG criteria.

Thanks for your comments

Mukesh M Agarwal, MD, FCAP

Department of Pathology

College of Medicine

UAE University

P.O. Box 17666

Al Ain 15258

United Arab Emirates

magarwal7@gmail.com