

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 31972

Title: PTPN22 and islet-specific autoimmunity: What have the mouse models taught us?

Reviewer's code: 02569353

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-12-26 12:05

Date reviewed: 2016-12-28 01:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is interesting and deserves publication. The effect of PTPN22 is dependent on other genetic variables: this is an important notion emerging from this review.

REPLY TO REVIEWER 1

We thank the Reviewer for the positive remarks and for recognizing this important notion. Following the second Reviewer's suggestions we made some modifications in the text. All of the revisions are highlighted in yellow.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 31972

Title: PTPN22 and islet-specific autoimmunity: What have the mouse models taught us?

Reviewer's code: 02949129

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-12-26 12:05

Date reviewed: 2017-01-22 08:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor comments: - Pag 3 line 50-52: the Authors' statement is not correct. They could rather say something like: "Our aim is to summarize the current knowledge on PTPN22, as raising from mouse model studies, and to highlight the unmet research needs on its role in autoimmunity" - Pag 3 line 42: "led to" instead of "led in"? - Pag 3 line 43-44: add "that" before "these"? - Pag 4 line 86: Editing of the citation in the text "Viisanen et al, Diabetes, 2016" - Table 1: "reference" instead of "citation".

REPLY TO REVIEWER 2

We greatly thank the Reviewer for the grammar corrections and suggestions. All points have been taken into consideration and the modifications are highlighted in yellow.