

Editor Na Ma,  
Company Editor-in-Chief, Editorial Office  
**Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [n.ma@wjgnet.com](mailto:n.ma@wjgnet.com)

Re: Ms. Ref. No.: **58424**

Dear Editors,

Thank you very much for your kind review of our manuscript. We have revised manuscript thoroughly based on the reviewer's comments and have addressed each of the comment point-by-point as follows. We have added 3 new references marked in red color letter (ref #4, #7, #13), added core tip and case summary for the abstract in red color letter, made each change in blue color letter, adjusted the format, and added the PMID and DOI number for all the references too.

We wish the revised manuscript can be accepted for publication in WJGS now.

Very Sincerely,

Wei

\*\*\*\*\*

Wei Li, MD, PhD  
Tang Aoqing Distinguished Professor of Jilin University  
Professor & Associate Director of the Dept of Hepatobiliary-Pancreatic Surgery  
China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University  
(0431) 89876816 (O)  
(0431) 13944850891 (cell)  
Weili888@hotmail.com; [weili888@jlu.edu.cn](mailto:weili888@jlu.edu.cn)

[Reviewers' comments:]

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This is an interesting case and the observations are worthy of publication. The manuscript is well written. My comments are very minor. Please see below: Introduction: 1. Page 2, line 39: Recommend adding the following reference: Day J, Sanchirico PJ, and DC Pfeiffer, Giant hepatic cyst as a cause of gastric outlet obstruction. Radiology Case Reports, 2019. 14:1088-1092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2019.06.015>. Case Report: 1. Page 4, line 83-85: This sentence indicates the follow-up was completed at 2 weeks post cyst drainage whereas Figure 2 indicates the CT performed at 2

months post treatment. Please correct the discrepancy between these two statements.

*Answer: Thank you very much for your nice comments and kind suggestions on our manuscript.*

- 1. According to your suggestion, we have added the reference to the manuscript, ref #4 on page 5 line 101;*
- 2. We have correct the mistake on follow up time (original Page 4, line 83-85), now it is consistence with Figure legend 2. See page 7 line 163.*

**[EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS: ]**

(1) **Science editor:** 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the giant simple hepatic cyst with multiple elevated serum tumor markers. The topic is within the scope of the WJGS. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors reported an interesting case and the observations are worthy of publication. The manuscript is well written. However, some references should be added; and (3) Format: There is 1 table and 2 figures. A total of 10 references are cited, including no references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, the CARE Checklist–2016, and the Written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Nature Science Foundation of The Science and Technology Bureau of Jilin Province and The Development and Reform Commission of Jilin Province. The topic has not previously been published in the WJGS. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (3) The “Case Presentation” section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section, and add the “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”, “TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

*Answer: Thanks a lot for your very scientific check into our manuscript. We have added 3 newly references #4, #7, and #13. We have provided the original pictures using PPT file as*

*attached. We also have added PMID and DOI numbers for all of the references listed and have listed all authors of the references. We have re-organized the format according to the guidelines and requirements for manuscript revision too. The changed parts use blue color letter and the new added part use red color letter.*

**(2) Editorial office director:** I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

**(3) Company editor-in-chief:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major revisions. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, authors need to correct the issues raised by the editor to meet the publishing requirements.