

## Response letter

Dear reviewers,

I am very grateful to your insightful comments for the manuscript. Based on your comment and advice, we have made modification on the original manuscript. Here, we attached revised manuscript for your approval. A document answering every question from the referees was also summarized and enclosed. Should you have any questions, please contact us without hesitate.

Part A (Reviewer 1)

**Comment:** *This is an interesting study of the efficacy of recombinant human thrombopoietin in the treatment of patients with CLD-associated thrombocytopenia undergoing invasive procedures. The study is well performed and the results are interesting. The manuscript is overall well written. A minor editing is required before final publication.*

**Reply:** Thank you for your advice. We have checked the manuscript and has been English editing to correct the grammatical errors again.

Part B (Reviewer 2)

**Comment:** *1. The manuscript requires a minor editing. Some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. Some data in the results section is repeated with the data in tables. Please check and make a revision. 3. A conclusion should be made in the main text. 4. Tables and figure are good. A minor editing to the tables is necessary.*

**Reply:** Thank you for your insightful advice.

1. We have checked the manuscript and has been English editing to correct the grammatical errors again.
2. We re-checked the data and content in the main text and tables, some duplicates were deleted and revised.
3. In the both main text and abstract, there are CONSLUSION at the end of article. And we also revised the content to make sure the words were expressed well. Please review it and see if any content needs to add or subtract.
4. We have made some changes in the tables and figures. Please review the article to

see if any content needs to revise.