To Lian-Sheng Ma 20-01-2022

Editorial Office Director, Company Editor-in-Chief, Editorial Office

Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160 Pleasanton,

CA 94566, USA

Letter to the editor.

Dear dr. Lian-Sheng Ma,

Our gratitude for your response and the valuable comments on our case report entitled "Case report: Bowel intussusception caused by a percutaneously placed endoscopic gastrojejunostomy catheter" (Manuscript NO.: 73344, case report) for submission to World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery.

We revised the manuscript thoroughly to the comments made by the reviewers. For improving the language of the manuscript, we did send the article to a professional English language editing company for a high academic standard.

In the following we formulated a point by point response to the comments made by the reviewers.

We sincerely hope that we have satisfactorily answered the issues raised and that the manuscript is now suitable for submission to *World journal of gastrointestinal surgery*. In case of any remaining questions, we will be most willing to help.

Yours sincerely,
On behalf of all authors,
M.W.J. Winters, MD
Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical Center Leeuwarden,
Leeuwarden, The Netherlands
E-mail: m.w.j.winters@gmail.com

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: Major

- 1) Please describe the specific product of the PEG-J device. **Response:** Thank you for the comment. As recommended, we added the specific product of the PEG-J device in the case presentation at the history of past illness (page 4)
- 2) Please attach an endoscopic image confirming the position of the PEG button in the stomach or small intestine during treatment. **Response:** As suggested we included a photo of the PEG in the stomach with traction without the PEG button against the stomach wall. (Figure 2A)
- 3) Please attach an endoscopic image of bezoar crushed during treatment. **Response:** Thank you for the comment. We agree that this would add value to the manuscript, unfortunately no photo of this was taken during the endoscopy, so we cannot provide.
- 4) Enclose the target sign and sausage sign of figure 1 with arrows or arrowheads and show them so that they can be easily understood. **Response:** Thank you for the comment. We added arrows so the figures can be easily understood. (Figure 1A and B)

Minor 1) Literature 8. The page is not listed. **Response:** we listed the page (Page 9)

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors:

- It is also necessary to add data on the frequency of the procedure in the world and the country of the authors, this will improve the idea of the relevance of the problem. **Response:** We appreciate this comment which we were happy to discuss in more detail. We have tried to get insight in the number of PEG-J catheters that are placed yearly in the Netherlands and in the world. Our group hasn't been able to find how many of these catheters are placed yearly in literature in The Netherlands and/or the world. We have also contacted the firm of the PEG-J catheters to get insight in these numbers, but they could not give us these numbers.

What was stated in literature that the use of PEG is increasing because it is considered to be a safe method for the administration of nutrition and medication. We added this in the discussion section to improve the relevance. (Page 7, discussion, first sentence second paragraph)

- Complications of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy are quite rare, so the described complication is of interest. When reading, an analogy arose with the 2016 article: Becker, B. A, & Walker, T. C. (2019). Gastric Outlet Obstruction Due to Malposition of Replacement Gastrostomy Tube. Clinical Practice and Cases in Emergency Medicine, 3(4). http://dx.doi.org/10.5811/cpcem.2019.7.43626 Retrieved from https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9h66v950. A wish to the authors to expand the relevance of the work and the discussion section. Discussion is necessary to improve, because violations of the patency of the gastrostomy tube and cases of postoperative intestinal obstruction have been described in the literature before. Response: We are grateful for the comment and the given article. There are multiple PEG complications giving the same non specific symptoms as an intussusception, as in the given article. The complication of the PEG catheter. In our case the complication was 1,5 year after placement of the PEG catheter and not due to misplacement. We have added the suggested case and improved the discussion. (Discussion Page 7 paragraph 4)

Science editor:

- *It needs minor revision according to reviewers*' *comments.* **Response:** Thank you for the comment. We have tried to improve te manuscript by revising it according to the comments of the reviewers.
- Language Quality: Grade B, minor language polishing. **Response**: For the language polishing we have sent the manuscript to an English Editing Company and incorporated their suggestions. We added the English editing certificate.