

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Parenteral nutrition is widely used in clinical practice by a wide range of physicians to provide effective nutritional supplementation for patients with impaired postoperative gastrointestinal function, which lacks systematic research. To address this challenge, in this study, the authors aimed at exploring the value of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery in patients undergoing surgical treatment. The authors used primary clinical data, observation indexes, and statistical method to organize their manuscript. The results showed that early enteral nutrition support plays a key role in the postoperative recovery of patients with surgically treated gastrointestinal tract tumors, suggesting that the importance of it in the postoperative management of these patients should not be overlooked. The study design is reasonable, and the results reflects the conclusion as well. I recommend its acceptance after the minor revision.

Comments 1: In table 1, why 65 years old is set as a point of age among these characteristics? Comments 2: In this paper, several typo and grammar issues should be addressed. For example, in Table 2, "Gtroups" should be groups.

Re: Thank you for your valuable feedback and keen observations. In response to your queries: The choice of using 65 years as a cutoff in Table 1 is twofold. First, it follows the definition of old age set by the World Health Organization (WHO), which identifies the onset of old age at 65. Furthermore, prior research indicates a significant increase in the incidence of potential health problems, including malignant tumors, starting around this age. Therefore, we believe it meaningful to use 65 years as a distinguishing point to better understand the impact of age on our study results. We appreciate your suggestion and will further elucidate this in the revised manuscript. We have carefully reviewed the

entire manuscript and corrected all typographical and grammatical errors, including the instance of "Gtroups" in Table 2 that you have pointed out. We apologize for these oversights and are grateful for your patience and understanding. These corrections will undeniably enhance the quality of our paper and make it more accessible to readers. We appreciate your time and constructive comments, which undoubtedly will help to improve our manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors made a retrospective analysis on the effects of early enteral nutritional support for gastrointestinal neoplasms patients undergoing surgical treatment. After reasonable grouping the patients into observation and control groups, the authors showed that the baseline data of the two groups were similar, including the age, gender, BMI and tumor location, et al. However, in the observation group, early enteral nutritional support not only helps to improve patients' nutritional status, speed up postoperative recovery and reduce the incidence of complications, but also improves patients' quality of life by enhancing immune function and protecting intestinal function. In short, the topic of this manuscript is timely and interesting. The authors have organized the manuscript rationally, with good methodology and well-written English. However, some important editing needs to be done before publication: 1. I noticed that in Table 1, diabetes mellitus is listed as an important factor. What is the possible underlying relation between diabetes mellitus and gastrointestinal neoplasms? 2. In the "METHODS" part, expressions of "To compare the changes of immune function and nutritional indexes in the two groups. To compare the changes in quality of life between the two groups of patients using the SF-36 scale. To

compare the occurrence of postoperative complications between the two groups of patients” are not complete sentence. Please rephrase this part.

Re: Thanks to the reviewers for their valuable feedback and careful review. In response to your question, our answers are as follows: In Table 1, we list diabetes as an important factor, because diabetes has been proven to be associated with an increased risk of gastrointestinal tumors. People with diabetes may have a high risk of gastrointestinal tumors, possibly due to the frequent insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in people with diabetes that may stimulate tumor growth. Regarding the "Methods" section, we rewritten this section to provide a complete sentence. The original paragraph: " To compare the changes of immune function and nutritional indexes in the two groups. To compare the changes in quality of life between the two groups of patients using the SF-36 scale. To compare the occurrence of postoperative complications between the two groups of patients "was modified to:" The changes in immune function and nutritional indexes in the two groups were compared. Furthermore, we utilized the SF-36 scale to compare the changes in the quality of life between the two groups of patients. Finally, the occurrence of postoperative complications between the two patient groups was also compared. " We deeply grateful for your time and constructive comments, This undoubtedly contributed to improve our manuscript.