Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors of this study aimed to explore the effect of Internet multiple linkage mode-based extended care combined with in-hospital comfort care on colorectal cancer patients undergoing colostomy. To do this, they compared the complications, SSES, HAMA, HAMD, BFI and COH-QOL-OQ among the three groups of 187 patients with colostomy. I have no objections as far as methods are concern. This topic is actual and well described. The manuscript is well written and very interesting, and authors presented also the limitations of the study. They concluded that the application of extended care based on Internet multiple interaction mode combined with in-hospital comfort care in patients with colorectal cancer colostomy can effectively reduce the occurrence of complications, improve their self-efficacy, relieve their cancer-induced fatigue, and improve their quality of life. The article provides an essential reference for researchers in this field and also determined the patterns of postoperative care in colorectal cancer patients undergoing colostomy, which is of great guiding significance for the clinical treatment of colorectal cancer. I recommend that the manuscript can be published.

R:Thank you for your comments.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: General comments: Authors retrospectively analyzed the complications and some scales of 187 patients with colostomy treated from 2019 to 2022. Based on the concept of "Internet +", this study integrated the multiple linkage cooperation mode into nursing work. to provide comprehensive extended care for patients with colorectal cancer colostomy. The manuscript is informative and well presentation. The reviewer has minor comments. Comments:

1- The Abstract is not good enough and needs to be revised. Some research background needs to be added.

R:The research background has been added at the beginning of the abstract in the newly revised manuscript.

2- The background part of the text is well written and presents status and significance of the study clearly.

R:Thank you for your comments.

3- Method: the paragraphs are generally well structured and explained.

R:Thank you for your comments.

4- Result: well and clearly presented with pertinent statistics. However, the contents in Tables should be optimized. Interchanging the horizontal and vertical titles may make it more comprehensible for the readers.

R:The newly revised manuscript has optimized the table by interchanging the horizontal

and vertical headings of the table.

5- Discussion: The manuscript clearly interprets the finding adequately and appropriately. In addition, the manuscript could highlight the key points clearly. R:Thank you for your comments.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

R:Thank you for your comments.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s). Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.

R:The specification of the three-row table has been completed as required. The latest research results are also added in the preface.