Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors:
This manuscript identified SII, CAR, and RDW as risk factors affecting the occurrence of liver metastasis after colon cancer surgery. Further, they showed that the combination of these three factors could effectively predict the risk of liver metastasis, and their prediction model based on these three risk factors had a good predictive efficacy. Therefore, in clinical practice, SII, CAR, and RDW can not only be beneficial for the early screening of colon cancer, but can also predict the occurrence of liver metastasis after surgery in advance, which can help in obtaining the best time for treatment. The design of this study is reasonable, and the results obtained are helpful for clinical research. My suggestion is to enrich the figure legends to help readers better understand the results.

Dear Reviewer:
Thank you for your thorough review and valuable feedback. We have enriched the figure legends.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors:
Author constructed a novel nomogram model including a variety of factors to predict liver metastasis after colon cancer surgery. The results showed that SII, CAR, and RDW were risk factors for liver metastasis after colon cancer surgery ($P<0.05$). The AUC and its 95% CI were 0.93 (0.89-0.96) for the column-line diagram prediction model constructed on the basis of these three risk factors to distinguish whether liver metastasis occurred after colon cancer surgery. The prediction model established in this study based on these risk factors has good discriminatory power and a high calibrating ability for the occurrence of liver metastasis after radical resection of colon cancer. Thus, this model could help clinicians more intuitively identify high-risk patients prone to liver metastasis after surgery, and take targeted screening measures and formulate individualized medical. Overall, this article has certain clinical significance and is worthy of publication. However, I still have a question that needs to be answered, the author should explain the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the general data in detail in the method.
Dear Reviewer:
Your comments are highly appreciated and necessary. We have supplemented the inclusion and exclusion criteria and content included in general datain the manuscript, as detailed in the second paragraph of the Methods section. Thank you very much for your thorough review of the manuscript and your valuable feedback.