

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

This meta-analysis delves into the comparison between Kono-S and traditional anastomosis in patients with Crohn's disease post-intestinal resection. The background provides a clear rationale for the study, emphasizing the clinical importance of optimal anastomosis methods. The objectives are explicitly stated, guiding the reader on the study's focus. The methods section is detailed, ensuring transparency and reproducibility. The utilization of search strategies for different databases and adherence to PRISMA guidelines enhance the study's methodological rigor. The results section succinctly communicates the key findings, such as the reduction in hospitalization time and recurrence rates associated with Kono-S anastomosis. The conclusion aptly aligns with the study's outcomes, emphasizing the potential clinical implications of Kono-S anastomosis while maintaining a cautious tone regarding the need for further validation. Overall, this meta-analysis serves as an effective standalone representation of the study, providing valuable insights into the comparative impact of anastomosis techniques in Crohn's disease surgery. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript requires a minor editing. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. Please update the references list. 3. The figures and tables should be improved.

Dear reviewer 1 :

Thank you for taking the time to review our manuscripts and giving valuable feedback on our research. The following is a response to your specific comments :

Your feedback is that the article needs some small language embellishment and modification. We have asked professional language editors to review and modify the article to ensure that the language is clear, accurate and smooth. We have made rigorous modifications to some parts of the expression that are wrong or unclear.

According to the style of the magazine, we have checked the format of the references and tried to find the literature of the recent years for this article. If you have other requirements for references, you are welcome to point out the problem so that we can modify it.

Regarding the design of the chart in this paper, we have ensured that the pictures in this paper are clear and complete, and the tables have all shown the results and data of the study.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

The abstract presents a well-organized and informative summary of the study comparing

Kono-S and traditional anastomosis in Crohn's disease surgery. The background succinctly introduces Crohn's disease and the relevance of anastomosis techniques, providing context for the study. The objectives are clearly outlined, focusing on the impact of Kono-S anastomosis on postoperative outcomes. The methods section is robust, detailing the databases searched, inclusion criteria, and the search strategy. Notably, the inclusion of the PICOS scheme enhances the clarity of the study design. The results section effectively communicates key findings, such as the reduction in hospitalization time and recurrence rates with Kono-S anastomosis. The conclusion appropriately summarizes the study's outcomes, emphasizing the potential benefits of Kono-S anastomosis while acknowledging the need for further research. While the manuscript is well-organized, a slight enhancement in the clarity of each section's purpose, including the background, methods, results, and conclusion, would improve overall coherence. To enhance the study's practical relevance, explicitly emphasizing the clinical implications in the conclusion would be beneficial.

Dear reviewer 2:

First of all, thank you for your valuable comments on the manuscript. The following is a response to your specific comments :

You think that the summary is well summarized and provides valuable information about the research. We are glad to hear your affirmation of the abstract. Regarding the background, methods, results and conclusions, we have always paid attention to the purpose of each part. We prefer that this article can provide valuable reference for the clinical application of Kono-S anastomosis and reduce the recurrence of Crohn 's disease, so we have reviewed the structure and expression of the full text to ensure that each part has elaborated the research content completely. You suggest that we place more emphasis on the clinical significance of the study in the conclusion. We have revised the conclusion section to more clearly convey the clinical significance and application value of our findings.