ESPS Manuscript No: 28590

Title: Advances in minimally invasive neonatal colorectal surgery

Authors: Ashwath S Bandi, Catherine J Bradshaw, Stefano Giuliani

Responses to Reviewers' Comments:

Many thanks for your comments. We have endeavoured to address the points raised and make changes as appropriate.

Reviewers' comments

1. The authors write "the vessels that supply the distal rectum are then divided until there is enough length" (current techniques, lap.-assisted anorectal pull-through,p.5). This is for me not completely clear. Is mobilized more appropriate here?

The sentence has been re-written to make this clearer: "The distal rectum is then mobilised by dividing feeding vessels until there is enough length to pull the rectum comfortably down to the perineum."

2. *PSARP* is introduced (Outcomes, short-term outcomes, p.6) without further explanation. A short description for the non-expert would be certainly helpful.

A sentence introducing the PSARP has been included at the start of the anorectal malformation section. "The posterior sagittal anorectoplasty (PSARP), a perineal approach to the correction of anorectal malformations (ARMs), has been standard practice since it was first described by Pena in the 1980s.1"

3. The benefits of laparoscopic surgery are given (Outcomes, short-outcomes, p.11). In that chapter it is not completely clear compared to what procedure (open surgery or complete trans-anal).

This is in comparison to the open approach, this has been made clear by rewording the first sentence. "Quoted benefits of laparoscopic surgery over traditional open techniques include reduced post-operative pain, quicker recovery of bowel function, shorter length of stay and improved cosmesis."

4. *In table 1 I recommend to explain the abbreviations.*

Abbreviations have been explained as needed.