

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your email dated July 16, 2021. We have revised the manuscript carefully, taking the reviewers' comments into consideration. The changes we made to the original manuscript are highlighted in red for your easy reference. Our point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments are summarized as follows.

Responses to the comments of Reviewer #1

The first reference (the article object of this Letter to the Editor) has been cited with an incorrect format, indicating the first names of the authors instead of the Surnames (e.g. Gianluca R. instead of the correct: Rompianesi G.)

Response: We feel great thanks for your professional review work on our article.

Responses to the comments of Reviewer #2

I have no comment for the authors.

Response: Thanks for the reviewer's kind suggestion. The manuscript has been carefully checked and revised.

Responses to the comments of Science editor

1. Several miss spelling and grammatical errors were found. English need a polish.

Response: Thanks for science editor's kind suggestion. The manuscript has been carefully checked and revised. The changes we made to the original manuscript are highlighted in red for your easy reference.

2. Supplementary comments: The study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and Wuhan Municipal Health Commission. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s).

Response: Thanks for science editor's kind suggestion. We will upload the approved grant application forms.