Anonymous

Review Date: 2022-07-10 23:45

Specific Comments To Authors: This is an interesting study of the value of multi-slice spiral computed tomography in the differential diagnosis of gastric stromal tumors and benign gastric polyps, and gastric stromal tumors risk stratification assessment. The study is well designed and performed. The data in the results are very interesting. For the "typical cases", please make a short described in the main text. Don Not only describe them in the figure legends. Otherwise, please delete them.

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Anonymous

Review Date: 2022-07-10 23:45

Specific Comments To Authors: The study is very interesting. In this study, the authors studied the multi-slice spiral computed tomography in the differential diagnosis of gastric stromal tumors and benign gastric polyps, and gastric stromal tumor risk stratification assessment. 1. The title is too long, please short it according to the journal's guideline. 2. The please make a short discussion about the two cases, or delete the cases. Because the results are enough. 3. The manuscript requires a minor editing.

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Anonymous

Review Date: 2022-07-10 23:44

Specific Comments To Authors: In recent years, enhanced CT examination has been determined to evaluate the risk of gastric stromal tumors. CT examination can effectively avoid the influence of gastrointestinal gas and the superposition of surrounding organs on the preliminary diagnosis of lesions and reduce the missed diagnosis rate of lesions. In this study, the authors performed CT examination to analyze the difference in CT performance between gastric stromal tumors and gastric polyps, and provided the corresponding basis for early diagnosis of gastric stromal tumors and reasonable selection of treatment methods. The study is very well designed and the manuscript is very well written. The results are reasonable, and well discussed. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript requires a minor language editing. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. The discussion is too long, please short it. 3. The references should be edited and updated. 4. The figures should be updated with more clearer images.

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, the relevant ethics documents, and the English Language Certificate, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally

accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ..." . Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/. Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response to reviewer 1: Thank you for your review. We have deleted the typical cases.

Response to reviewer 2: Thank you for your review. 1. We have shorted the title according to your suggestion. 2. We have deleted the typical cases. 3. We have checked the manuscript carefully and made language polishing.

Response to reviewer 3: Thank you for your review. 1. We have checked the manuscript carefully and made language polishing. 2. The discussion has been shortened. 3. The references have been edited and updated. 4. The figures have been updated.

Response to editor: We have made necessary revisions to ensure that the article exactly meet the requirements of your journal.