

Answering Reviewers

Reviewer #1 SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The absolute indications are so strict that unnecessary surgery may be performed. Subsequently, after a rigorous investigation of surgical specimens, the indications for ESD were expanded to include a larger diameter, undifferentiated mucosal lesions, and differentiated lesions with mild submucosal infiltration. It is difficult to determine the presence of histological ulcers before ESD, and the presence of ulcers in EGCs is closely related to their depth of invasion and lymphatic invasion. Ruptures are considered ulcers, which undoubtedly overestimate the disease and lead to unnecessary surgery. In this study, the authors built a personalized prediction model that may provide a theoretical basis for the prevention of ulcers in EGC patients after ESD. This study is very well designed and well performed. The LASSO regression analysis results are interesting. The reviewer recommends to accept this study after a minor editing. Thank you.

Answer: Thanks for your suggestions. We have checked the language and revised it.

Reviewer #2 SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors constructed a risk prediction model for ulcers after ESD for EGC based on LASSO regression. The study is very interesting, and the manuscript is very well written. In my opinion, the study can be accepted after a minor correction.

Answer: Thanks for your suggestions. The manuscript has been revised carefully according to the editor-in-chief's comments and the journals' guideline.

Reviewer #3: SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the construction and analysis of an ulcer risk prediction model after endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer. The study is well performed, and the results are excellent. I have no specific comments to authors. This study can be accepted as it is.

Answer: Thanks for the comments.