Response to Editor and Reviewers

Dear Editor and reviewers：

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude for your efforts on reviewing my manuscript entitled " **Oral Fruquintinib combined with S-1 for advanced colorectal cancer to obtain longer progression-free survival : Case report and literature review** ".

Thanks to your valuable suggestions, our work has been further improved. After receiving the suggestions, our team reviewed our research and made some additions and modifications according to your suggestions. We look forward to publishing our work in your journal at an early date. Thank you for your hard work for us.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is a case report, and the description of the case scenario, treatment, outcome, and interpretation was all satisfactory. Writing is satisfactory. The formatting (i.e., lines pacing) was a bit off but that's something to be fixed in cooyediting. As there is from my perspective no problems here, can be published.

Response :Thank the reviewer for your review and encouragement. We revised the format of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Thanks to the authors for this interesting manuscript. The strongest part is the individual approach to the patient, also the literature review is very adequate and meaningful. I have a few comments: 1. The article is not proprerly formatted according to the Journal's recommendations (spaces, lines, refferences etc.). 2. The figures are too small in size and are not very clear. 3. The patient's informmed consent needs to be modified (more explanation and it should be written in the native language). 4. Abbreviations should appear in the text not as separate section

Response :1.According to the reviewer's reminder, we have adjusted the format and font of the full text to try our best to keep it uniform, so as to facilitate readers' reading.

2. We have adjusted the size of the the figures in the manuscript for greater clarity.

3. The patient's informed consent form has been modified as required and is in his native language.

4. The abbreviations in the manuscript have been modified as required

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Response : As a reminder from the science editors , we have adjusted the format and font of the full text, while further language polishing of the manuscript has been carried out to ensure that all errors related to grammar, syntax, format and so on have been resolved to make the revised manuscript meet the publication requirements.

I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.

Response : Thank you, editor-in-chief, for recommending our manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology and for your review and suggestion on our manuscript. According to the chief editor's requirements, we visited the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA) recommended by you, and supplemented and improved the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, so as to further improve the content of the manuscript (for example, reference 9, 26)

Thank the reviewers for their suggestions on our work again.
Because of these suggestions, the revised article get the chance to become better.I appreciate all the help offered by editors and reviewers. If there is any modification need to be conducted, please be sure let me know. Our team is amenable and prepared to do any further adjustment.

With best wishes,

Yan