Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The article is within the scope of the journal and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and structured. The reading is fluent. Original and novel research is presented. However, to be accepted some changes must be made:

1) The state of the art should be extended.

Answer: Thanks. We are very sorry that due to the time and economy limitations, other experiments have not conducted. In the future, we will perform more studies to extend the state of the art.

2) The discussion should be extended to compare the work presented with other similar ones, showing its advances and limitations.

Answer: Thanks. We have made corresponding modifications in the Discussion section.

3) The introduction should make it clearer what the objectives of the work are. Likewise, the conclusions should establish the scientific contributions of the work.

Answer: Thanks. We have revised the Introduction section.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. This is a manuscript for the role of the CPSF6/IBSP axis in gastric cancer. This manuscript is concise and well organized.

Answer: Thanks for your kind comments.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Hello Thank you for very interesting and actual study. I have one remark: Please include the next source in reference list: Shi X, Ding K, Zhao Q, Li P, Kang Y, Tan S, Sun J. Suppression of CPSF6 Enhances Apoptosis Through Alternative Polyadenylation-Mediated Shortening of the VHL 3'UTR in Gastric Cancer Cells. Front Genet. 2021 Sep 14;12:707644. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.707644. PMID: 34594359; PMCID: PMC8477001.

Answer: Thanks. We have added this report in Reference 22.

Reviewer #4:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

*The 'region' was written 2 times in the results section of the abstract, it should be corrected.

Answer: Thanks. We have revised.

* Information about the patients is very little, their stage, age, etc. (patient and tumor characteristics) should be added.

Answer: Thanks. We have added more information about patients in Table 1.

* It may be more understandable if the studies on this subject (the studies mentioned in the discussion section) are added in the form of a table. I think that the subject of the article is a current issue that will contribute to the literature.

Answer: Thanks. We have added Table 2 to illustrate the subject of this study.