

Dear Editor,

Thank you for allowing us to revise our manuscript (Manuscript ID: 89511) entitled "Early gastric cancer recurrence after endoscopic submucosal dissection: Not to be ignored!". We greatly appreciate the editors' and reviewers' comments and suggestions. These comments and suggestions are valuable for improving our manuscript. All authors approve the revised manuscript for publication.

**Response to the reviewer's comments was as follows:**

**Reviewer #1:**

**1. Response to comment:** 1 Title. YES 2 Abstract. YES. SUITABLE 3 Key Words. OK 4 Background. YES, MORE DETERMINATIVE 5 Methods. YES, SUITABLE 6 Results. YES 7 Discussion. ÇOK TANIMLAYICI OLMUŞ. AKLA GELEBİLECEK SORULARI BİLİMSEL, SADE VE NET İFADELERLE AÇIKLAMISLAR. 8 Illustrations and tables. NO NEED 9 Biostatistics. DNO NEED 10 Units. YES 11 References. YES. APPROPRIATE 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. WELL WRITEN 13 Research methods and reporting. IT IS MORE EDITORIAL THAN EVER 14 Ethics statements. NO NEED

**Response:** Thank you for your comment.

**Reviewer #2:**

**1. Response to comment:** This manuscript is an editorial article that focused on the importance of paying more attention to post-endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) gastric cancer recurrence in patients with early gastric cancer (EGC) and how to manage it effectively. The authors mentioned that we should pay attention not only to the local recurrence after ESD but also metachronous GC recurrence. In addition, the authors raised challenges we should cope with. This is a well-written paper that presents informative data. The results will be of interest to clinicians and researchers in the field.

**Response:** Thank you for your comment.

**Response to the editorial comments was as follows:**

*Science editor*

**Response to comment:** The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.

**Response:** Thank you for your help.

*Company editor-in-chief*

**Response to comment:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s). When revising the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the RCA, of which data source is PubMed. RCA is a unique artificial intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical science and life science literature. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>, or visit PubMed at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>..

**Response:** Thank you for your suggestion.

1. The approval document has been supplemented.
2. We have made revisions in the "CURRENT RESEARCH FOR POST-ESD RECURRENCE" paragraph as follows: "Reference Citation Analysis (RCA, <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>) is a unique artificial intelligence system for citation evaluation of biomedical literature. RCA has been employed to analyze previous studies of EGC patients with post-ESD up to December 2023."

Once again, we would like to express our great appreciation to the editors and reviewers for their comments and suggestions, and we hope the revised manuscript will meet with approval. We sincerely appreciate your consideration of our manuscript.

Best regards,

Jian