Answering Reviewers

Reviewer 1: This is a very well written network meta-analysis paper concerning the assessment of the optimal perioperative treatment regimen for locally resectable gastric cancer on the base of analysis of articles searched from their inception to April 21, 2023 without language restrictions. The authors give the extensive characteristics of selected studies and presented detailed description of inclusion and exclusion criteria applied to select literature and used the appropriate statistical methods. The present meta-analysis showed that only neoadjuvant XELOX plus neoadjuvant radiotherapy and neoadjuvant CF regimens effectively improved the RO resection rate. This study provides valuable insights for clinicians in selecting perioperative treatment regimens for locally resectable gastric cancer. The authors have reviewed and analyzed sufficient amount of literature. The review is supplied with two Tables and four figures.

Answer: thank you very much for your valuable advise.

3 Language evaluation: The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. There are many errors in grammar and format, throughout the entire manuscript. Before final acceptance, the authors must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240.

Re: We did the linguistic editing of the manuscript and uploaded the proofreading documents to the system.

4 Specific comments:

(1)Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

Re: We have filled out the conflict-of-interest disclosure form as required and submitted it in the system.

(2) Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A, B, arrows, etc. With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed. All legends are incorrectly formatted and require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as Figure 1 title. A: ; B: ; C: .

Re: We have provided the drawings cited in the manuscript in the form of PPT as requested, and all the drawings do not involve copyright issues. We've also modified all the images of legends and provided explanations accordingly.

(3) Title: The title is too long, and it should be no more than 18 words. The title cannot start with "the, a, an".

Re: As requested, we have reduced the title to 18 words.

(4) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text (and directly before the References).

(5) Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

(6) Please provide the PubMed numbers (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) to the reference list and list all authors of the references. If a reference has no PMID and DOI, please provide the source website address of this reference.