

Point-by-point response to reviewers:

- **Reviewer 1:**

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

Dear Authors, I have read with great pleasure the review you presented on MiNEN. The work is very comprehensive yet in optimal manner (both written and graphic) depicts all the necessary aspects of the topic, giving a valuable insight into current perspective of diagnosing and managing this specific tumour type. I recommend publishing.

The first reviewer does not make any objections or propose any corrections.

- **Reviewer #2:**

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

This review has certain reference significance for the related research of MiNENs.

The second reviewer comments minor language polishing (unspecified). We have performed a second English revision of the manuscript, carried out by Mark Willis, academic translator and proofreader, without finding possible improvements to the text.