

## Answering reviewers

First, thank you for the thorough review and the kind words with which our paper was received.

We respond to the comments that have been raised:

(4) References: A total of 59 references are cited, including 7 references published in the last 3 years;

*We have added additional references as we felt we needed to update and expand the literature on the topic.*

(5) Self-cited references: There are 12 self-cited references. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations that are closely related to the topic of the manuscript, and remove other improper self-citations.

*We have removed a third of the self-citations (4 out of 12 total) and now the self-referencing rates are less than 10%.*

5 Issues raised: (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

*We provided the author contributions section.*

and (2) The authors should add some tables or figures.

*We added a figure.*

**Specific Comments To Authors:** The manuscript is well organized and easy to understand. The author proposed that iPSCs could provide new ways to study arrhythmias and heart disease in general. And it also be used to predict interactions between drugs and the cardiac conduction system. As there are no major changes, I agree to accept it.

Thanks for the reviewer's comment .