

We want to thank the reviewers and editors for their input. In the following paragraphs we provide a point by point reply to each of the concerns raised. The modifications have been highlighted to facilitate their location in the manuscript.

1. Reviewer #1: Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting study focusing on direct endoscopic necrosectomy for pancreatic walled off necrosis. A detailed and classified analysis was given in the manuscript. A supplemental instruction about nutrition support during the period of LAMS indwelling is suggested, oral feeding, enteral feeding or parenteral nutrition.

Response to reviewer #1: A sentence about the kind of diet the patients were on during the duration of their treatment has been added.

2. Science Editor: Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (2) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance. (Han Zhang)

Response to editor: 1)The previous figure files have been substituted by image files arranged in powerpoint for any further processing. 2)An “article highlights” section has been added at the end of the main text.

3. We kindly request the editors to allow us to change the title of the manuscript to the following one: “Endoscopic pancreatic necrosectomy in the US-Mexico Border: A cross sectional study”. The title complies with the journal requirements and we believe it reflects what the study is about in a more accurate way.