

Thank you very much for reviewing our case study and giving us the opportunity to revise and resubmit the manuscript. We are really grateful for the suggestions made by the reviewers. We have incorporated changes into the manuscript to the best of our ability. The manuscript has certainly benefited from these insightful suggestions.

Specific Comments to Authors: In the report important differential diagnoses of tumor at the ampulla are addressed and minimal invasive surgery is discussed as an important tool to omit overtreatment.

Comment 1. The abortive duodenal cystic duplication and inflammatory pseudotumor should be addressed.

Answer: The abortive duodenal duplication cyst and inflammatory pseudotumor are incorporated in the differential diagnosis of ampullary subepithelial lesion.

Section: Discussion; Paragraph - 5; Lines – 1-4

Comment 2. Figure 3 needs a better description. In particular, the pancreatic tissue should be marked in Figure 3C.

Answer: We have revised the Figure 3 and described it in detail along with necessary markings.