

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for allowing us to revise our manuscript (Manuscript ID: 88699) entitled "Safety and efficacy of modified endoscopic ultrasound-guided selective N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate injections for gastric variceal hemorrhage in left-sided portal hypertension". We greatly appreciate the editors' and reviewers' comments and suggestions. These comments and suggestions are valuable for improving our manuscript. All authors approve the revised manuscript for publication.

Response to the reviewer's comments was as follows:

Reviewer #1:

1. Response to comment: The report represents a fair description of experience in the field and is well described and easy to follow. The actual data seem to me to suggest no particular advantages or statistically significant differences due to the sample size and the fact that all interventions were successful by the measures reported. Shorter hospital stays are probably not such a great advantage when 50 minutes longer is required under anesthetic. The 1 mL less glue should be more clearly described in terms of how this should lead to fewer adhesions or glue ulcers.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. We have recognized the limitations of our study, including the small sample size and the relatively longer operation time in the modified group, and have pointed them out in the limitations paragraph. Regarding fewer adhesions or glue ulcers, our explanation includes accurate detection, injection, and termination with the assistance of EUS, which can reduce the doses of glue, thus reducing adhesions or glue ulcers caused by inaccurate and excessive injection. In addition, we will further optimize our procedure and include more patients in future studies.

Response to the editorial comments was as follows:

Science editor

Response to comment: The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.

Response: Thank you for your help.

Company editor-in-chief

Response to comment: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, please provide and upload the following important documents: Biostatistics Review Certificate, a statement affirming that the statistical review of the study was performed by a biomedical statistician; Institutional Review Board Approval

Form or Document, the primary version (PDF) of the Institutional Review Board's official approval, prepared in the official language of the authors' country; Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s), the primary version (PDF) of the Informed Consent Form that has been signed by all subjects and investigators of the study, prepared in the official language of the authors' country; STROBE Statement, an important document related to manuscript writing of observational/case control/retrospective cohort studies. The quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, it is recommended that the authors provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

Response: Thank you for your suggestion.

1. The original figure and table documents have been supplemented.
2. All requested documents have been submitted online.

Once again, we would like to express our great appreciation to the editors and reviewers for their comments and suggestions, and we hope the revised manuscript will meet with approval. We sincerely appreciate your consideration of our manuscript.

Best regards,
Jian