

Response to Reviewer’ s Comments

Dear Editor and Reviewers:

Thank you very much for reviewing our manuscript and offering valuable advice.

Comments to the Author

Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Major revision
Specific Comments to Authors:

PuraStat as hemostatic formulation used in ESD and other invasive Gastrointestinal procedures is not well established as only few studies are available. The agent has limited benefit in hemostasis during the procedure and efficacy in the post procedure is not wel established . The time of action and duration of the hemostatic of puraStat is not reported in the study. The author proposed that gravitational effect may improve the effectiveness but to maintain the gravitational position is not only difficult but will prolong the procedure time also. The fact that purastat has minimal beneficial effect during ESD procedure should not be used as standard of care. Because of dual coagulation methods used during the ESD; the which one played the major role is not known in this study. Better results would have been obtained by comparing PuraStat with other available hemostatic methods in prospective study.

Author response:

Thank you for your comments and valuable insights. We acknowledge the limitations highlighted and appreciate the suggestion. In future research, we plan to conduct a prospective study comparing PuraStat with other hemostatic methods to provide a more comprehensive assessment of its efficacy in these procedures.

批注 [NC1]: Thank you for giving me the opportunity to work on your response letter. I have checked each of the responses for tone, language, clarity, and correspondence with the reviewers’ comments and the changes made in the revised manuscript. I wish you the best for the resubmission of your revised manuscript

I have cross-checked your responses in this letter with the main manuscript and supporting information files. I have ...

批注 [EA2]: ご便宜上、この納品ファイル内のコメントは、弊社の最新 AI ベースの翻訳ツールを使用して日本語に翻訳されています（精度は 100%ではありません）。また、オリジナルの英文コメントも通常通りご参照いただけます。

しかしながら、原稿の修正や校正者への質問は、校正者は全て英語のネイティブスピーカーであり、日本語はわかりかねますので、必ず英語をお願いします。且...

批注 [NC3]: Please note that the responses are essentially to the two reviewers who reviewed your manuscript and sent their feedback.

AI translation:
回答は基本的に、あなたの原稿を査読し、フィードバックを送ってくれた 2 人の査読者に宛てたものであることにご留意ください。

批注 [NC4]: Kindly note that I have included additional information for statement completion and to improve readability and flow of the statement.
Please check the text to ensure your original intended meaning is retained; otherwise, please get back to me with clarifications so that I can suggest alternative ways to revise this.

AI translation: ...