

## ANSWERING REVIEWERS



Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in word format (file name: 19233-Review.doc).

**Title:** Reality named endoscopic ultrasound biliary drainage

**Author:** Hugo Gonçalo Guedes, Roberto Iglesias Lopes, Joel Fernandez de Oliveira, Everson Artifon

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 19233

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated.

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer:

We would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions. We prepared a point by point response to the reviewers' queries. These responses were also included in our revised manuscript.

**Comments:**

1. It don't cover all areas of EUSBD as the best method of track dilation (cystotome, rigid dilators, balloon dilator,...), the extent of track dilation, the rate of complication of different dilation methods, and the needle type (i.e flex needles) for different positions (i.e long position).

**Response:** We included new references about dilatation methods, complications, new devices and other therapeutic uses to improve our paper (Ogura T, 2015; Park DH, 2011; Jang JW, 2012; Poincloux L, 2015).

2. Some grammar mistakes as -a rendezvous technique (RT) can be adopted wherein EUS is used to puncture the bile duct -extrahepatic (EH) when the neddle access the CBD directly.

**Response:** Grammatical mistakes were revised and corrected.

3 References and typesetting were corrected.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*.