

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 30526

**Manuscript Type:** Original Article

*Retrospective Study*

**Analysis of the risk factors for severity in post endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis: The indication of prophylactic treatments**

Hiroshi Matsubara, Fumihiko Urano, Yuki Kinoshita, Shozo Okamura, Hiroki Kawashima, Hidemi Goto, Yoshiki Hirooka

**Responses to Reviewer 00044326's comments**

Thank you very much for your valuable and constructive comments regarding our manuscript. We believe that your comments have significantly improved the revised manuscript. Our responses to your comments are as follows:

1. what is the impact of CBD diameter  
3. Management of CBD stones in case of non-dilated extrahepatic biliary system or mildly

**Our response**

In accordance with your comment 1, 3, we added a data of CBD diameter with CBD stones in the table 1 and 3, and Page 8, Line 3, and Page 9, Line 9 in the revised manuscript.

2. what is the impact of age and sex on development and severity

**Our response**

Thank you for this comment. We are providing data about age and sex in the table 1 and 3.

4. what is the mortality rate

**Our response**

Thank you for this comment. We mentioned “No patients died during the study.” in Page 9, Line 20, in the revised manuscript.

5.role of precut sphincterotomy

6.number of pancreatic cannulation

**Our response**

Thank you for your comment 5 and 6. Unfortunately, there has been no study of precut sphincterotomy and number of pancreatic cannulation, although we think that such studies are very important. Therefore, we added the following sentence on Page 8, Line 13-15, in the revised manuscript: “Unfortunately, no study has examined role of sphincterotomy and number of pancreatic cannulation except our following conference paper.”

7.diagnosis of sever pancreatitis and management

**Our response**

Thank you for this comment. We mentioned “Diagnoses and grading PEP.” in Page 7, Line 15-20, in the revised manuscript. Furthermore, we mentioned management for PEP in Page 7, Line 11-14, in the revised manuscript.

8.preventive measures for risk patients.

**Our response**

Thank you for this comment. We consider that pancreatic duct stenting and EST are effective method to prevent sPEP. We mentioned “pancreatic duct stenting and EST.” in Page 11, Line 15-22, in the revised manuscript.

Thank you very much. We believe that the revised manuscript is significantly improved because of your comments. We hope that

the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*.

#### **Responses to Reviewer 03529651's comments**

Thank you very much for your valuable and constructive comments regarding our manuscript. We believe that your comments have significantly improved the revised manuscript. Our responses to your comments are as follows:

- 1- The manuscript needs correction for English language and grammars.

##### **Our response**

We have asked a copy-editing company to correct the syntax errors (Medical English Service; <http://www.med-english.com/>).

Thank you very much. We believe that the revised manuscript is significantly improved because of your comments. We hope that the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*.