

Dear Editor

Thank you very much for the opportunity to submit our manuscript “Anthropometric indicators of visceral adiposity as predictors of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: a review”. All the review’s suggestions were evaluated and they are described here and it also highlight in yellow on the text.

We hope to have taken care at the issues.

1. I suggest adding information about literature evidence also for children in order to add more value to you work.

R: Accepted request. Page 9, 11, 23, 25

2. Few studies evaluating the anthropometric indicators as NAFLD predictors have been included in this review.

R: Although many studies, found in the literature, extensively addressing the anthropometric indicators as predictors of NAFLD, only six (06) studies have discussed the anthropometric indicators of visceral adiposity in adults and five (05) in children. eleven papers were included in this systematic review. Page 6

3. Although the authors have proposed a critical analysis, it does not appear in the article's content.

R: Critical analyzes were included in each subtopic of the manuscript. Page 7, 8, 9, 10, 13

4. A number of studies show that FLI and LAP moderately accurate for identifying NAFLD in different racial and ethnic groups, while other studies show that LAP is a powerful tool to predict NAFLD in Asian Population

R: Accepted request. Page 13. FLI was excluded from Table 2 because it was not an anthropometric indicator of visceral adiposity.

5. One critical piece of information regarding the diagnosis of NAFLP has not been addressed in this report. Specifically, the sensitivity and specificity of tomography,

ultrasonography, and proton magnetic resonance (Table 1) in diagnosing NAFLP. Are there any studies comparing these imaging techniques to liver biopsy? The authors should add a comment about this topic

R: Accepted request Page 7-8

6. This review could be improved if the authors added some comments about the accuracy of the imaging techniques used to diagnose NAFLD in the four of the five studies reviewed. For example, is there any information comparing ultrasonography and liver biopsy, which is the "gold standard"? The evaluation of the findings of these four studies is not complete without knowing the sensitivity and specificity of the imaging methods.

R: Accepted request. Page 7-8