Response to the reviewers

Thank you for your kind comments and valuable suggestions. I did decrease the similarity by revising the manuscript.

00503460

Please insert the references n. 1 and 2 in the text. An in-depht study of the metastese should be worthwhile.

I did get the references and the numbers straight. Thank you.

01204294

This manuscript is overall well written. The topic is of interest for the general readership. I would suggest some minor changes before: - Please check for some typos through the manuscript. For instance: in table 1 is written "posyoperative" that should be "postoperative". - The manuscript is too long and in somehow too ripetitive. - I would delete the last paragraph of the manuscript, which is just a repetition - More importantly, I would reduce the emphasis about laparoscopic liver resection,. This manuscript is not for a surgical journal. Rather it is for gastroenterologists and liver specialists mainly. To me, it would be better "to stay on data" and limit the personal opinions.

I did correct misspelling and delete the last paragraph. Thank you for your suggestion.

02541992

Thank you to invite me to review the manuscript entitled "The status and perspective of laparoscopic repeat liver resection.". One author is listed: a well-known Japanese surgeon, specialized in laparoscopic liver resection. This topic highlight is well-written, interesting and precise. I have no concern except the interest that this manuscript may draw from physicians; as an HPB/laparoscopic surgeon, I' ve been interested. Consequently, I recommend Acceptance for this manuscript.

Thank you for your kind comments.