

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the resubmitted revised manuscript for the mini-review titled; The Spectrum of Esophageal Motility Disorders in Patients With Liver Cirrhosis; Manuscript NO.: 58663. We have read thoroughly all the reviewer comments with the constructive criticism which I do respect for the perfection of the manuscript.

We have taken all comments into consideration and we answered the questions and revised the text accordingly (all changes are highlighted in bold).

I am herewith attaching the revised manuscript and the point to point replies to the reviewers.

I always do appreciate very much your support.

With my best regards,

Sincerely,

Mohamed Khalaf

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The manuscript is a revision. It has errors of language and writing. In page 6 there is $p < 1,05$. Is it correct? In page 7 is said: "...was conducted by another study by ___" Some words or names were lost. There are other minor errors that should be revised. The paper is simple but it is very good to think about esophageal motility in patients with hepatic disease.

Done. Thank you

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript titled, The Spectrum of Esophageal Motility Disorders in Patients With Liver Cirrhosis. It's a very interesting topic. This manuscript does not mention the relationship between the severity of liver cirrhosis and esophageal motility disorder, whether this part of the content can be added.

Done. Thank you

4 REVISE THE MANUSCRIPT

4.1 Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision and the Format for Manuscript

Revision: Please visit: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/291>.

4.2 Preparatory work for revising your manuscript: (1) [Original articles](#); (2) [Review articles](#); and (3) [Case report articles](#).

4.3 Editorial office's comments: Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 **Scientific quality:** The manuscript describes a review of the spectrum of esophageal motility disorders in patients with liver cirrhosis. The topic is within the scope of the WJH. (1) **Classification:** Grade B and Grade C; (2) **Summary of the Peer-Review Report:** The paper is simple but it is very good to think about esophageal motility in patients with hepatic disease. The language needs to be revised. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) **Format:** There are no tables and figures. **We found no need to include images and tables in this minireview.**

A total of 58 references are cited, including no references published in the last 3 years.

As far as we know, there was no recent research that investigated the topic using modern high resolution manometry and the article highlights the need for further investigation.

There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B and Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form, but the Copyright License Agreement lacks of the signature.

Done. Thank you

No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

However, the highest single-source similarity index showed to be 8% and 7% in the CrossCheck report. The authors need to rephrase the repeated parts. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJH. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing.

Done. Thank you

Please provide the author contributions; (2) Please add some figures or tables. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

We found no need to include images and tables in this minireview.

and (3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

(2) Editorial office director: I have checked the comments written by the science editor. I have changed the manuscript type "review" to "minireview". The authors need to add some tables or figures.

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major revisions. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, authors need to correct the issues raised by the editor to meet the publishing requirements.

4.4 Requirements for figures: Please provide the decomposable Figures, whose parts are all movable and editable, organize them into a PowerPoint file, and submit as "**58663-Figures.ppt**" on the system. The figures should be uploaded to the file destination of "Image File".

4.5 Requirements for tables: Please provide the decomposable Tables, whose parts are all movable and editable, organize them into a Word file, and submit as "**58663-Tables.docx**" on the system. The tables should be uploaded to the file destination of "Table File".

4.6 Requirements for references: Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout. NOTE: The PMID is required, and NOT the PMID; the PMID number can be found at <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. (Please begin with PMID:) The DOI number can be found at <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>. (Please begin with DOI: 10.**).

I did my best to add DOI and PMID.