Dear Editorial team, World Journal of Hepatology

We value your comments and enclose the revised version of our manuscript. We appreciate your observations and recommendations. This has helped us to improve this work. We include a point-by-point detailed response to the special comments from the editor, along with the revised version of our manuscript.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit our work for consideration.

Sincerely,

Francisco Bosques Padilla Corresponding author This is a good overview, but the first problem is that you cannot have a one-page long conclusions section. This part can be moves to discussions and have only short conclusions.

Thank you for this comment. We have moved most of the "conclusions" into the discussion part and have left one paragraph as a conclusion.

Please rewrite this part. Other aspects -page 3 – NAFLD ...that 59% progress to NASH.

Thank you for the recommendation. We have rewritten that sentence.

Reference? -page 5 — Povero et al... healthy controls with histologically confirmed NASH — delete healthy -page 16 — NAFLD and NASH (not NAS)

Thank you for these suggestions. We have added the reference for Povero et al., we have deleted healthy, and corrected the NAS misspelling.

Revise the discussion section to put together all information presented and give short conclusions. This will give quality to the paper.

Thank you for this comment. We have verified the discussion and conclusion, and we have restructured.