Dear Editors:

Thank you for your careful review of our article, now titled ***Awareness of NASH diagnostics guidelines: What are physicians telling us?***

We have addressed the revisions requested by the reviewers in the attached manuscript, as follows:

Review #1

A suggestion was made to replace the terms NASH and NAFLD with MASH and MAFLD, respectively. While this may be in line with several commentators' viewpoints as cited, our paper references specific international guidelines that utilize the more universal terms NASH and NAFLD. Since these were also the terms used in our survey to measure knowledge, we would prefer to maintain this more widely understood and standard terminology.

We did not collect geographic information about the location of respondents' practices, although as indicated, the sample was national throughout the US.

Other recommendations were implemented as requested.

Review #2

Years of practice for survey responders is now included in the text. We did not collect information on the age of the physicians themselves.

Review #3

The word "treatment" has now been replaced by "diagnosis" wherever it referred to the results of the survey. Note that this change impacted the title of the manuscript itself.

We appreciate your timely consideration of this latest revision, and look forward to hearing from you regarding the next steps toward publication of the article.

Best regards,

Dawie Wessels