

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

August 13, 2014



Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 13290-Fischer et al).

Title: THE ROLE OF AUTOPHAGY IN DIFFERENTIAL SENSITIVITY OF HEPATOCARCINOMA CELLS TO SORAFENIB

Author: Trevan D. Fischer, Jin-Hee Wang, Adrian Vlada, Jae-Sung Kim, and Kevin E. Behrns.

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 13290

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 New figure (Figure 4) has been cluded

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

Comment 1: Please clarify the “Columns” of your manuscript according to the “ESPS-Columns scope note”

Response: Our manuscript is best fit into the category of “Brief Articles”. We clarify this on page 1.

Comment 2: Only one correspondence author

Response: We modified to one correspondence author on page 1.

Comments 3: for example: Author contributions: Jethwa P and Naqvi M performed the majority of experiments; Hardy RG, Hotchin NA, and Roberts S provided vital reagents and analytical tools and were also involved in editing the manuscript; Spychal R co-ordinated and provided the collection of all the human material in addition to providing financial support for this work; Tselepis C designed the study and wrote the manuscript.

Response: Thanks for clarification. We modified on page 1, as suggested.

Comment 4: Only the purpose should be included. Please write the aim in the form: “To investigate/study/...”

Response: Changes were made, as suggested.

Comment 5: AIM: no more than 26 words

Response: changes were made. A total number of words in AIM are 26 in the revised manuscript.

Comment 6: METHODS: no less than 80 words

Response: changes were made. A total number of words in AIM are 82 in the revised manuscript.

Comment 7: Writing requirements(1) BackgroundTo summarize concisely and accurately the

relevant background to the article to enable the readers to gain some basic knowledge about the article and better understand its significance.(2) *Research frontiers*To introduce briefly the hotspots or important areas in the research field related to the article.(3) *Innovations and breakthroughs*To summarize and emphasize the differences, particularly the advances, achievements, innovations and breakthroughs, from other related or similar studies, to allow the readers to assimilate the major points of the article. (4) *Applications*To summarize the practical applications of the research.(5) *Terminology*To describe concisely and accurately any terms that may not be familiar to the majority of the readers, but are essential for understanding the article.(6) *Peer review*To provide the comments from peer reviewers that most represent the characteristics, values and significance of the article, and allow the readers to have an objective point of view toward the article.

Response: Comments were included on page 13-14 of the revised manuscript.

Comment 8: Pleased provide PubMed citation numbers for the reference list, e.g. PMID and DOI, which can be found at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=pubmed> and <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>, respectively. The numbers will be used in the E-version of this journal. Thanks very much for your co-operation.

Response: PMID numbers were included in the revised manuscript.

3 References and typesetting were corrected.

4. Changes were marked in red.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Hepatology*.

Sincerely yours,

Jae-Sung Kim, Ph.D.

Associate Professor

Department of Surgery, Pharmacology & Therapeutic, Institutes on Aging, and Anatomy & Cell Biology

University of Florida

(tel) 352-392-7461

e-mail: jae.kim@surgery.ufl.edu