

Thank you for the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer 1.

The subject of this manuscript is of value, but there are defects need to be modified.

1. The structure of the article needs to be reconsidered. According to sub-title "Clinical, laboratory and imaging findings in chronic airspace disease", it is suggested to add a sub-title "Causes of chronic airspace disease" before "Inflammatory causes (table 1)". Or delete the subtitle "Clinical, laboratory and imaging findings in chronic airspace disease".
 - a. I have added a subtitle of causes pf chronic airspace disease to the table
2. Should the author change the sub-title "Clinical information and patient background": to: "Clinical findings". It looks more coordinated or consistent.
 - a. I have changed it to clinical findings.

Reviewer 2.

Comments to the manuscript: Chronic Airspace Disease; Review of the Causes and Key Computed Tomography Findings. The aim of this manuscript is to review the causes of chronic airspace disease, and discuss the clinical, laboratory and radiological findings that can help radiologists and clinicians to narrow down the differential diagnosis. 1 Title. The title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. The abstract summarizes and reflect the work described in the manuscript.

It is advisable (if possible) to record the prevalence or incidence of chronic airspace diseases. The incidence and prevalence of chronic airspace disease is high in everyday practice but it is really not possible to provide any number since they belong to very heterogeneous group of diseases and the definition of chronic is rather uninformed in the literature.

3 Background.

The introduction is adequate and allows a proper understanding of the problem of study. It is recommended (if possible), that the authors cite some figures on the prevalence of the pathologies that originate radiological findings of chronic airspace disease.

5 Methods. It is recommended that the authors mention more details of the ethical aspects related to the present investigation. For example, if patients' permission was requested for their data to be used. It is recommended that the authors complete the details related to the following methodological aspects: Inclusion and exclusion criteria of the articles used to carry out the review.

The study was approved by institutional board review and the need for patients' consent was therefore waved.

Also, the cases included and shown in the review are selected based on their imaging findings which was compatible with the definition of chronic airspace disease described in the introduction.

6 Results. It is a clear and professionally written and presented article (I have no comments).

7 Illustrations and tables. The figures are very clear and adequately described in the figure feet. General comments. It is an article with an original idea, which deserves to be considered for publication.