

To a reviewer 1 (**Reviewer's code:** 04333095)

Thank you very much for your insightful comments. Accordingly, we have revised our manuscript and our responses are given below.

#1 Please, enrich the text with more references to experimental results. A picture would also increase manuscript appeal.

Accordingly, we have added texts with more references.

#2 Some sentences are rather generic and not appropriate for an editorial, please revise (see some examples below): - "As shown above, in these days, no person has doubt the presence of coronary spasm, and "coronary spasm" or "vasospastic angina (VSA)" has joined the ranks of common diseases."; - "Given the accumulation of studies on coronary spasm by previous great cardiologists for more than half a century".

As suggested, we have reviewed our paper and have revised faulty sentences.

#3 The authors state: "However, some VSA patients should take  $\beta$ -blockers, such those with left ventricular dysfunction[10-12], hypertrophic cardiomyopathy[63], and myocardial bridging[24-26, 59, 64]". Please clearly describe that the above referred disease represent differential diagnoses vs coronary spasm.

According to your comments, we have revised these sentences as follows:

However, VSA is accompanied with many cardiovascular diseases, in which  $\beta$  blockers are effective, such as left ventricular dysfunction<sup>[10-12]</sup>, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy<sup>[66]</sup>, and myocardial bridging<sup>[24, 26, 27, 62, 67]</sup>. Under such conditions, coronary vasodilators should be administered first, and then  $\beta$  blockers should be administered from small doses, observing carefully for the worsening of chest symptoms and hemodynamics.

#4 In the following passage, the authors refer to microvascular angina. However, despite some overlap in the pharmacological treatment, microvascular angina has a different pathophysiological background. The authors should make sure that the readers do not confuse the intended meaning of this period. Please remove the sentence or rephrase it to make clear it represents a differential diagnosis:

"Finally, microvascular angina is an undoubtedly unsolved problem[27, 32].  
---arteries after administrations of ACh into the coronary arteries[27]".

As suggested, we have deleted these sentences. Furthermore, we have added the following sentences to describe "intractable VSA":

Among the VSA patients, there have been some VSA patients with microvascular dysfunction<sup>[76, 77]</sup>. Standard coronary vasodilators are less effective in patients with microvascular dysfunction or microvascular angina<sup>[33]</sup>. Therefore, the comorbid of VSA and microvascular dysfunction may contribute to the presence of intractable VSA.

**To a reviewer 2 (Reviewer's code: 03905734)**

This is an interesting manuscript. Also, coronary spasm is a field in rapid expansion and thus the article is timely. English language needs a lot of refinement. In the title why is written "...is ONLY common...."?

Thank you very much for your comment. As suggested, we have changed the title to **"Coronary spasm: It's common, but it's still unsolved."**

**To a reviewer 3 (Reviewer's code: 00227375)**

Thank you very much for your careful review of our manuscript.

**To a reviewer 4 (Reviewer's code: 01204088)**

We deeply appreciate your review of our manuscript.