

Response to the Editor/reviewer's comment

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to submit a revised draft of the manuscript. We are most grateful for the time the editors and reviewers spent on providing suggestions on how to improve our paper. In our revision, we have tried to address all the queries raised by the editor and the reviewers'.

Point-by-point response:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

I think the topic of study is interesting. However, I suggest that authors consider the following points:

Response: Thank you for the encouraging remarks and giving us your valuable inputs to improve the quality of the paper. Accordingly, we have made the suggested alterations in our paper.

1. Figure 1 requires high quality resolution.

Response: Thank you for pointing it out to us. We have accordingly, placed a higher resolution file instead of the previous file. The same can also be found in the figures ppt uploaded.

2. Reference 86 is not mentioned in the text.

Response: We thank the reviewer for bringing it to our notice. We have accordingly added the same.

3. I suggest authors add a list of abbreviations at the end of the manuscript.

Response: As suggested, we have added the same.

Once again we express our sincere thanks and gratitude to all the editor and the reviewers comment for providing valuable insights into our paper. we believe that these comments indeed go a long way in improving the overall quality and clinical impact of our research in the future.