

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 33530

**Title:** Geometric Comparison of the Mitral and Tricuspid Valve Annulus: Insights from Three Dimensional Transesophageal Echocardiography

**Reviewer's code:** 00506252

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The data obtained in this study are interesting. However, the results should be shown in Table although the data are repeated. 2. Data appear to be shown as Means  $\pm$  SD. Please indicate it. 3. "The circumference ( $p=0.23$ ) of the MVA was  $127.9 \pm 16.8$  mm and  $125.92 \pm 16.12$  mm for the TVA." ----> "The circumference ( $p=0.23$ ) of the MVA was  $127.9 \pm 16.8$  mm and  $125.9 \pm 16.1$ mm for the TVA." 4. "The AIPm / AP ratio ( $p<0.001$ ) for the MVA was  $1.08 \pm 0.33$  and  $1.09 \pm 0.28$  for the TVA." The difference is very small. Is it true that there is a statistical significance? Minor comments Title page: Medicine--->Medicine; Univerity--->University

**RESPONSE:** Thank you for the review. Data is now shown as Means  $\pm$  SD. Data (confirmed) is now shown in a table (Table 1). Title page corrections made.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 33530

**Title:** Geometric Comparison of the Mitral and Tricuspid Valve Annulus: Insights from Three Dimensional Transesophageal Echocardiography

**Reviewer's code:** 00214291

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting manuscript

**RESPONSE:** Thank you for the review.