

December 14, 2021

Dear Editors,

Dear Reviewers,

Herewith I resubmit the revised version of the manuscript entitled “Lateral epicondylitis: new trends and challenges in treatment” (Ms. No. 72070) by Vesselin Karabinov and Georgi P. Georgiev.

According to the Editor’s and Reviewers’ comments we rewrite our manuscript

WE ALSO MADE AJE DIGITAL EDITING.

Details of the changes made are included below. They are marked in red in the revised text.

Reviewer 05448835:

1. Write the contribution of your paper at the rest of the introduction using bullet point. 2. Write the background of the work and add some recent references. Authors should add the following paper as references:

(i)	
(ii)	https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352914820300290
(iii)	https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11045-020-00756-7
(iv)	https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0259179
(v)	https://www.eurekaselect.com/194736/article
	https://content.iospress.com/articles/international-journal-of-hybrid-intelligent-

systems/his210008 (vi) <https://online-journals.org/index.php/i-joe/article/view/20009> 3. Future scope and limitations should be included.

WE ACCEPT ALL REVIEWERS SUGGESTIONS.

Reviewer 05936182

Firstly, thank you for opportunity to review very interested article. 1. The title reflect the main subject about treatment of lateral epicondylitis, title was clear and easy to understand. The authors used words "new trends and challenges" make reader interesting. 2. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. 3. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status, and significance of the study. The authors explain LE in the terms of definition, history, and epidemiology in introduction sector. 5. The manuscript describe methods in adequate detail, the main objective of this review was to described treatment of LE including surgical and non-surgical methods. I think this article was clear of details 6. The research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study. 7. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically. 8. The manuscript meet the requirements of publication. 10. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections.

WE ACCEPT ALL REVIEWERS SUGGESTIONS.

We hope that the revised version of the manuscript will be of interest for your journal.

Looking forward to your decision,

Yours sincerely,

Georgi P. Georgiev