

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28999

**Title:** Bennett's fracture associated with fracture of Trapezium- a rare injury of first carpo-metacarpal joint

**Reviewer's code:** 02484866

**Reviewer's country:** 0

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-29 11:42

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-21 21:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

line 33. care should be case. For occult fractures of the trapezium Bett view is an useful view. I think it will be better to mention it in the conclusion part for the readers.

Reply

This correction has been made in the manuscript

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28999

**Title:** Bennett's fracture associated with fracture of Trapezium- a rare injury of first carpo-metacarpal joint

**Reviewer's code:** 00467045

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-29 11:42

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-13 18:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is generally a good paper. The main issue is that the authors have not specified what makes their Case Study unique or different to other reports of this injury in the literature. This is important as I currently cannot see what original contribution this paper makes to the literature. There are also many minor grammatical errors which need to be fixed before the paper can be considered for publication. Specific comments are listed below. 1. Line 33: The word 'care' should be 'case'. 2. Line 38: Please change this to '47-year-old' i.e. include dashes. 3. Line 56: Can the authors please provide a brief explanation of the QuickDASH score for readers who are not familiar with it? 4. Line 75: Please change 'Inappropriate views' to 'The type of views imaged or quality...' 5. Line 79: Do the authors mean CT shows better anatomical detail than radiographs? Please specify more clearly here. 6. Line 80: Please reword this sentence to 'Potential injuries to the CMC joint should be carefully investigated in patients...' or similar. 7. Discussion Section: In the Introduction, line 35, the authors have mentioned that the Bennett's fracture with a fracture of the trapezium and CMC joint disruption, while a rare injury, has been previously discussed in the literature. Therefore, what are the new



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

findings in the current paper and therefore what original contribution does this paper make to the literature? The authors need to provide some discussion on their case study in light of the previous reports in the literature on this rare injury. 8. Line 123: 'Bennett's' is spelt incorrectly in the figure caption. 9. Throughout the whole paper: There are many minor grammatical errors. In particular, English articles such as the word 'the' is missing in many places, and the authors need to fix this before the paper can be considered for publication.

Reply

These corrections have been made in the manuscript.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28999

**Title:** Bennett's fracture associated with fracture of Trapezium- a rare injury of first carpo-metacarpal joint

**Reviewer's code:** 03517432

**Reviewer's country:** 0

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-29 11:42

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-12 00:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

no comments